

## MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

## **GENERAL INFORMATION**

#### **Requestor Name and Address**

PALLADIUM BROWNSVILLE DOLLY VINSANT HOSPITAL 1100 N EXPRESSWAY STE 3 BROWNSVILLE, TX 78521-1407

**Respondent Name** 

INSURANCE CO OF THE STATE OF PA

**MFDR Tracking Number** 

M4-04-6287-01

**Carrier's Austin Representative Box** 

Box Number 19

MFDR Date Received

FEBRUARY 10, 2004

# REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

**Requestor's Position Summary:** "There appears to be an inconcistency in the procedure followed in reviewing and releasing payment, and the interpretation [sic] of the Texas Administrative Code Title 28. Procedure code 64443 was paid at \$1530.00 each for 5/20/03 and 7/8/03, but only paid at \$532.42 for April's dates of service."

Amount in Dispute: \$27,457.90

#### RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: The respondent did not submit a position statement.

# **SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

Date(s) of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
April 8, 2003 to April 15, 2003	Outpatient Hospital Services	\$27,457.90	\$0.00

# FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

# **Background**

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1 provides for fair and reasonable reimbursement of health care in the absence of an applicable fee guideline.
- 3. Texas Labor Code §413.011 sets forth provisions regarding reimbursement policies and guidelines.
- 4. This request for medical fee dispute resolution was received by the Division on February 10, 2004. Pursuant to 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(g)(3), effective January 1, 2003, 27 *Texas Register* 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, the Division notified the requestor on April 7, 2004 to send additional documentation relevant to the fee dispute as set forth in the rule.

- 5. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
  - 1-The charge exceeds usual and customary.
  - 2-This procedure is included in another procedure performed on this date.

# **Findings**

Records stored in the MFDR section indicate that the TDI-DWC, formerly the Commission, received a request for medical fee dispute resolution on February 10, 2004. After an exhaustive search, MFDR was unable to locate this dispute file. Both the requestor and respondent in this case were notified via form letter on May 31, 2012 requesting copies of any documents originally sent to TDI-DWC. Each party was given the opportunity to submit the requested documentation and supplemental documentation. The division received original/supplemental information as noted in the position summaries above. The documentation filed by the requestor and respondent to date is considered.

- 1. This dispute relates to services with reimbursement subject to the provisions of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1, effective May 16, 2002, 27 Texas Register 4047, which requires that "Reimbursement for services not identified in an established fee guideline shall be reimbursed at fair and reasonable rates as described in the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, §413.011 until such period that specific fee guidelines are established by the commission."
- 2. Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) requires that fee guidelines must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual's behalf. It further requires that the Division consider the increased security of payment afforded by the Act in establishing the fee guidelines.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(g)(3)(B), effective January 1, 2003, 27 Texas Register 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, requires the requestor to send additional documentation relevant to the fee dispute including "a copy of any pertinent medical records." Review of the submitted documentation finds that the requestor has not provided copies of all medical records pertinent to the services in dispute. Although the requestor did submit a copy of the operative report, the requestor did not submit a copy of the anesthesia record, post-operative care record, or other pertinent medical records sufficient to support the services in dispute. The Division concludes that the requestor has not met the requirements of §133.307(g)(3)(B).
- 4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(g)(3)(D), effective January 1, 2003, 27 *Texas Register* 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, requires the requestor to provide "documentation that discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement." Review of the submitted documentation finds that:
  - The requestor's position statement / rationale for increased reimbursement from the *Table of Disputed Services* asserts that "There appears to be an inconcistency [sic] in the procedure followed in reviewing and releasing payment, and the interprutation [sic] of the Texas Administrative Code Title 28. Procedure code 64443 was paid at \$1530.00 each for 5/20/03 and 7/8/03, but only paid at \$532.42 for April's dates of service...payments issued for dates of service 5/20/03, 6/25/03 and 7/8/03-All paid at 75% or greater."
  - The requestor does not discuss or explain how the documentation submitted supports the requestor's position that the amount sought is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services in this dispute.
  - The Division has previously found that a reimbursement methodology based upon payment of a percentage of a hospital's billed charges does not produce an acceptable payment amount. This methodology was considered and rejected by the Division in the adoption preamble to the Division's former Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline, which states at 22 Texas Register 6276 that:

"A discount from billed charges was another method of reimbursement which was considered. Again, this method was found unacceptable because it leaves the ultimate reimbursement in the control of the hospital, thus defeating the statutory objective of effective cost control and the statutory standard not to pay more than for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living. It also provides no incentive to contain medical costs, would be administratively burdensome for the Commission and system participants, and would require additional Commission resources."

Therefore, a reimbursement amount that is calculated based upon a percentage of a hospital's billed charges cannot be favorably considered when no other data or documentation was submitted to support that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services in dispute.

- The requestor did not submit documentation to support that payment of the amount sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in this dispute.
- The requestor did not submit nationally recognized published studies or documentation of values assigned

for services involving similar work and resource commitments to support the requested reimbursement.

• The requestor did not support that payment of the requested amount would satisfy the requirements of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1.

The request for additional reimbursement is not supported. Thorough review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not demonstrated or justified that payment of the amount sought would be a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute. Additional payment cannot be recommended.

## Conclusion

The Division would like to emphasize that individual medical fee dispute outcomes rely upon the evidence presented by the requestor and respondent during dispute resolution, and the thorough review and consideration of that evidence. After thorough review and consideration of all the evidence presented by the parties to this dispute, it is determined that the submitted documentation does not support the reimbursement amount sought by the requestor. The Division concludes that this dispute was not filed in the form and manner prescribed under Division rules at 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307. The Division further concludes that the requestor failed to support its position that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

#### **ORDER**

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the services in dispute.

# **Authorized Signature**

		10/5/2012	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	
		10/5/2012	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Manager	Date	

# YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute may appeal this decision by requesting a contested case hearing. A completed **Request for a Medical Contested Case Hearing** (form **DWC045A**) must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party**.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.